PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

Lepton flavour universality violation from composite muons

arXiv:1510.04596v1 [hep-ph] 15 Oct 2015

Peter Stangl[*†]
Excellence Cluster Universe, TUM, Boltzmannstr. 2, 85748 Garching, Germany
E-mail: peter.stangl@ph.tum.de

We describe a possibility to explain the 2.6σ deviation from lepton flavour universality observed by the LHCb collaboration in $B^+ \to K^+\ell^+\ell^-$ decays in the context of minimal composite Higgs models. We find that a sizable degree of compositeness of partially composite muons can lead to a good agreement with the experimental data. Our construction predicts a new physics contribution to B_s-$\bar{B}_s$ mixing. Additionally, it accounts for the deficit in the invisible Z width measured at LEP.

The European Physical Society Conference on High Energy Physics
22–29 July 2015
Vienna, Austria

[*]Speaker.
[†]This article is based on [1] done in collaboration with Christoph Niehoff and David Straub.

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike Licence.

1. Introduction

The LHCb collaboration has measured a 2.6σ deviation from the Standard Model (SM) value of R_K, which is the ratio of the $B^+ \to K^+\mu^+\mu^-$ and $B^+ \to K^+e^+e^-$ branching ratios [2]:

$$R_K = \frac{\mathrm{BR}(B^+ \to K^+\mu^+\mu^-)_{[1,6]}}{\mathrm{BR}(B^+ \to K^+e^+e^-)_{[1,6]}} = 0.745^{+0.090}_{-0.074} \pm 0.036\,. \tag{1.1}$$

In the SM, due to lepton flavour universality (LFU), R_K is to a very good approximation equal to 1.0 [3]. A confirmation of the LHCb measurement would thus be a clear sign of new physics (NP) violating LFU.

There have been several attempts to explain (1.1) in terms of NP models. Most models capable of doing this contain spin-0 or spin-1 leptoquarks or a neutral heavy gauge-boson mediating the $b \to s\ \ell^+\ell^-$ transition at tree level [4 – 18]. While (1.1) can not be reproduced in the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) [9], it was shown that this is possible in composite Higgs models (CHMs) when introducing composite leptoquarks [19]. In [1], a mechanism was described how also more simple CHMs without leptoquarks could be used to explain (1.1). This approach is presented in more detail in the following sections, where we closely follow [1].

2. Explaining R_K in composite Higgs models

At the quark-level, the $B^+ \to K^+\ell^+\ell^-$ decay is based on the $b \to s\ \ell^+\ell^-$ transition. For its parametrization we consider the Wilson coefficients C_9^ℓ, C'^ℓ_9, C_{10}^ℓ and C'^ℓ_{10} associated with the operators

$$\begin{aligned} O_9^\ell &= \left(\bar{s}\,\gamma_\mu P_L\, b\right)\left(\bar{\ell}\,\gamma^\mu\,\ell\right), & O'^\ell_9 &= \left(\bar{s}\,\gamma_\mu P_R\, b\right)\left(\bar{\ell}\,\gamma^\mu\,\ell\right), \\ O_{10}^\ell &= \left(\bar{s}\,\gamma_\mu P_L\, b\right)\left(\bar{\ell}\,\gamma^\mu\,\gamma_5\,\ell\right), & O'^\ell_{10} &= \left(\bar{s}\,\gamma_\mu P_R\, b\right)\left(\bar{\ell}\,\gamma^\mu\,\gamma_5\,\ell\right), \end{aligned}$$

which are contained in the weak effective Hamiltonian. A global analysis on $b \to s$ transitions including several flavour observables [9] (see also [20, 21]) has shown that data prefer either

- a negative shift in C_9^μ only: $\delta C_9^\mu < 0$
- or a shift in C_9^μ and C_{10}^μ with $-\delta C_{10}^\mu = \delta C_9^\mu < 0$,

where $\delta C_i^\mu = C_i^\mu - C_i^{\mu\,(\mathrm{SM})}$ denotes the shift in the muonic Wilson coefficients with respect to their values in the SM.

To explain a contribution to the above mentioned Wilson coefficients in the context of CHMs, we mainly rely on one specific property of the bulk of these models, namely the notion of partial compositeness. Here we present only the most important concepts that are necessary to describe our construction. For more details on the chosen model we refer to [1]. In the model we consider, the SM gauge and fermion field content makes up the so called elementary sector. In addition, there is a composite sector that contains a heavy resonance partner for each of the elementary fields. These heavy partners transform under a global symmetry group G that contains the SM

(a) (b)

Figure 1: (a): Mixing of an elementary Z boson with its heavy partner ρ. (b): Tree level FCNC due to mixing of b,s and Z with their heavy partners.

gauge group G_{SM} as a subgroup.[1] Elementary and composite fields are coupled to each other such that they mix (cf. fig. 1a). The amount of mixing is called the "degree of compositeness"[2]. Due to the mixing, couplings between the elementary fields are modified and new couplings to the heavy sector are introduced. This leads to e.g. tree level flavour changing neutral currents (FCNCs) that are not present in the SM (cf. fig. 1b).

The new and the modified couplings can now be used for trying to get a contribution that fits one of the two cases that are preferred by experimental data ($\delta C_9^\mu < 0$ or $-\delta C_{10}^\mu = \delta C_9^\mu < 0$) (cf. [22, 23]). One possibility is to use the coupling from fig. 1b to get the Z exchange diagram shown in fig. 2a. Due to the small vector coupling of the Z to leptons, this diagram gives a contribution with $\delta C_{10}^\mu \gg \delta C_9^\mu$, which is not the desired pattern. In addition, this diagram is lepton flavour universal and thus can not be used to explain (1.1). The second possibility is the exchange of the heavy resonance ρ shown in fig. 2b and 2c. In the first case, the ρ couples to muons via the mixing of ρ and Z. This ρ-muon coupling is approximately equal to the coupling of the Z to muons and thus the corresponding diagram suffers from the same problem as the Z exchange. But the second case of the ρ exchange is different. Here the muons mix with their heavy partners and they subsequently couple to the ρ via a composite sector coupling. With a sizable degree of



(a) (b) (c)

Figure 2: (a): Z exchange. (b): ρ exchange with ρ-muon coupling due to Z-ρ mixing. (c): ρ exchange with ρ-muon coupling due to muons mixing with their heavy partners.

[1] In the following we use $G = SO(5) \times U(1)_X$. This is motivated by models with the Higgs field consisting of the pseudo Nambu-Goldstone bosons of an $SO(5) \to SO(4)$ global symmetry breaking. The $U(1)_X$ is needed to account for the correct hypercharges. See [1] for more details.

[2] The mass eigenstates of the theory have to be obtained by a diagonalization of the mass matrices. Due to the mixing, after the diagonalization these eigenstates then contain parts of both elementary and composite fields. The lowest mass eigenstates are finally identified with the mass eigenstates of the SM. How much of the composite fields they contain is controlled by the amount of mixing and thus its name "degree of compositeness".

compositeness of the muons s_μ, this diagram might give the desired contribution. For the case of a shift in only C_9^μ, one would need a sizable degree of compositeness for both left- and right-handed muons. This is problematic because the product of both enters the muon mass and has to be small. So the first case is thus already ruled out. The case with $-\delta C_{10}^\mu = \delta C_9^\mu < 0$ on the other hand would require only a sizable degree of compositeness of the left-handed muons s_{μ_L}. This seems possible and calls for further investigation.

3. Constraints from quark flavour physics and electroweak precision tests

Assuming that the ρ exchange diagram, where the left-handed muons couple to the ρ via a sizable degree of compositeness (fig. 2c) explains (1.1), one has to show that this is not in conflict with other observations. There are constraints from direct searches for heavy resonances, but in the considered models these resonances are usually heavy enough to avoid them.[3] Getting an effect in R_K requires a flavour changing coupling of b and s quarks to the ρ resonance. Such a coupling then also leads to a NP contribution to B_s-$\bar{B}_s$ mixing which is experimentally constrained [9] (see also [23, 25 – 28]). Therefore this coupling cannot be too large and its smallness has to be compensated by the sizable degree of compositeness s_{μ_L}. Requiring a sizable effect in R_K then leads to the lower bound

$$s_{\mu_L} \gtrsim 0.17 \cdot \sqrt{f/v}, \tag{3.1}$$

where f is the NP scale[4] and v is the Higgs VEV.

A sizable s_{μ_L} potentially modifies the couplings of the second generation leptons to the massive electroweak gauge bosons. A shift in the Z coupling to left-handed muons is very problematic since this coupling is strongly constrained by LEP. Fortunately, a NP tree level[5] contribution to the Z-muon coupling can be avoided by a custodial protection through a discrete P_{LR} symmetry [30, 31]. Interestingly, this then already fixes the representations of the global symmetry group G under which the heavy lepton partners transform. In contrast to the Z-muon coupling, the charged current coupling of the W to second generation leptons cannot be protected. This leads to a negative relative shift in the Fermi constant

$$\frac{\delta G_F}{G_F} \approx -\frac{v^2}{4f^2} s_{\mu_L}^2. \tag{3.2}$$

As it can be seen in fig. 3a, the constraints on G_F are correlated with the constraints on the electroweak T parameter (following [32]). The maximal shift in G_F that differs by less than 3σ from the central experimental value gives an upper bound on the degree of compositeness,

$$s_{\mu_L} \lesssim 0.08 \frac{f}{v}. \tag{3.3}$$

Like the charged current coupling, the neutral current coupling to muon neutrinos is also not protected. This results in a modification of the effective number of light neutrino species N_ν. The 2σ

[3] For a comprehensive analysis of composite Higgs models including constraints from direct searches, see e.g. [24].

[4] In models were the Higgs is a pseudo Nambu-Goldstone boson, f is the Higgs decay constant. In the model considered here, f is linked to the ρ mass m_ρ by $m_\rho = \frac{1}{2} g_\rho f$, where g_ρ is the coupling between the ρ and the heavy lepton partners.

[5] The analysis presented here is merely a proof of concept. In a more complete one, an additional loop-correction might be relevant (cf. [29]).



(a) (b)

Figure 3: (a): Constraints at the 1, 2 and 3σ level on the relative shift in the Fermi constant and a modification of the electroweak T parameter. (b): Results for R_K in the considered model (green lines). The green shaded area is the 1σ region allowed by the LHCb measurement (1.1). The red lines correspond to different relative shifts in the Fermi constant.

deficit in the invisible Z width measured at LEP [33] can also be expressed by N_ν. Interestingly, it basically coincides with the value we get from the shift in the coupling between the Z and the muon neutrinos. So we find that this shift actually improves the agreement with experimental data.

4. Results

After considering the most important constraints, we find that a sizable s_{μ_L} is a possible explanation for the anomaly (1.1), while a small NP contribution to B_s-$\bar{B}_s$ mixing is essential to get the desired effect in R_K. Assuming a 10% correction to the B_s mass difference ΔM_s, one can express R_K by only s_{μ_L} and f:

$$1 - R_K \approx 0.14 \left[\frac{1.3\,\text{TeV}}{f}\right] \left[\frac{s_{\mu_L}}{0.4}\right]^2 \tag{4.1}$$

Different values for R_K in the considered model are shown in fig. 3b together with corrections to the Fermi constant. Using all previous assumptions, we find lower bounds for the degree of compositeness s_{μ_L} and the NP scale f such that (1.1) can be explained at the 1σ level:

$$f \gtrsim 1.3\,\text{TeV}, \quad s_{\mu_L} \gtrsim 0.4 \tag{4.2}$$

In addition, this can explain the 2σ deficit in the invisible Z width measured at LEP.

5. Conclusions

We have shown that left handed muons with a sizable degree of compositeness can explain the departure from LFU measured by LHCb. There are several implications of this explanation.

- It relies on a non-universal coupling of only left-handed muons to the ρ resonance and thus predicts $\delta C_{10}^{\mu} = -\delta C_9^{\mu}$. This can be tested with global fits to measurements of processes involving $b \to s$ transitions.
- Violation of LFU in other modes is expected.
- A NP contribution to B_s mixing is predicted that is testable with higher precision of CKM parameters.
- The heavy ρ resonance should be seen at some point by direct searches, but it may be too heavy to be detected at the LHC.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Christoph Niehoff and David Straub for the collaboration on the topic presented here. This work was supported by the DFG cluster of excellence "Origin and Structure of the Universe".

References

[1] C. Niehoff, P. Stangl, and D. M. Straub, *Violation of lepton flavour universality in composite Higgs models*, *Phys. Lett.* **B747** (2015) 182–186, [arXiv:1503.03865].

[2] **LHCb** Collaboration, R. Aaij et al., *Test of lepton universality using $B^+ \to K^+\ell^+\ell^-$ decays*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 151601, [arXiv:1406.6482].

[3] C. Bobeth, G. Hiller, and G. Piranishvili, *Angular distributions of $\bar{B} \to \bar{K}\ell^+\ell^-$ decays*, *JHEP* **12** (2007) 040, [arXiv:0709.4174].

[4] W. Altmannshofer, S. Gori, M. Pospelov, and I. Yavin, *Quark flavor transitions in $L_\mu - L_\tau$ models*, *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014) 095033, [arXiv:1403.1269].

[5] A. J. Buras, J. Girrbach-Noe, C. Niehoff, and D. M. Straub, *$B \to K^{(*)}\nu\overline{\nu}$ decays in the Standard Model and beyond*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 184, [arXiv:1409.4557].

[6] S. L. Glashow, D. Guadagnoli, and K. Lane, *Lepton Flavor Violation in B Decays?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) 091801, [arXiv:1411.0565].

[7] B. Bhattacharya, A. Datta, D. London, and S. Shivashankara, *Simultaneous Explanation of the R_K and $R(D^{(*)})$ Puzzles*, *Phys. Lett.* **B742** (2015) 370–374, [arXiv:1412.7164].

[8] A. Crivellin, G. D'Ambrosio, and J. Heeck, *Explaining $h \to \mu^\pm\tau^\mp$, $B \to K^*\mu^+\mu^-$ and $B \to K\mu^+\mu^-/B \to Ke^+e^-$ in a two-Higgs-doublet model with gauged $L_\mu - L_\tau$*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) 151801, [arXiv:1501.00993].

[9] W. Altmannshofer and D. M. Straub, *New physics in $b \to s$ transitions after LHC run 1*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C75** (2015), no. 8 382, [arXiv:1411.3161].

[10] A. Crivellin, G. D'Ambrosio, and J. Heeck, *Addressing the LHC flavor anomalies with horizontal gauge symmetries*, *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015), no. 7 075006, [arXiv:1503.03477].

[11] G. Hiller and M. Schmaltz, *R_K and future $b \to s\ell\ell$ physics beyond the standard model opportunities*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 054014, [arXiv:1408.1627].

[12] S. Biswas, D. Chowdhury, S. Han, and S. J. Lee, *Explaining the lepton non-universality at the LHCb and CMS within a unified framework*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 142, [arXiv:1409.0882].

[13] S. Sahoo and R. Mohanta, *Scalar leptoquarks and the rare B meson decays*, *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015), no. 9 094019, [arXiv:1501.05193].

[14] G. Hiller and M. Schmaltz, *Diagnosing lepton-nonuniversality in $b \to s\ell\ell$*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 055, [arXiv:1411.4773].

[15] D. Aristizabal Sierra, F. Staub, and A. Vicente, *Shedding light on the $b \to s$ anomalies with a dark sector*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015), no. 1 015001, [arXiv:1503.06077].

[16] A. Celis, J. Fuentes-Martin, M. Jung, and H. Serodio, *Family nonuniversal Z' models with protected flavor-changing interactions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015), no. 1 015007, [arXiv:1505.03079].

[17] W. Altmannshofer and I. Yavin, *Predictions for Lepton Flavor Universality Violation in Rare B Decays in Models with Gauged $L_\mu - L_\tau$*, arXiv:1508.07009.

[18] A. Falkowski, M. Nardecchia, and R. Ziegler, *Lepton Flavor Non-Universality in B-meson Decays from a U(2) Flavor Model*, arXiv:1509.01249.

[19] B. Gripaios, M. Nardecchia, and S. A. Renner, *Composite leptoquarks and anomalies in B-meson decays*, *JHEP* **05** (2015) 006, [arXiv:1412.1791].

[20] D. Ghosh, M. Nardecchia, and S. A. Renner, *Hint of Lepton Flavour Non-Universality in B Meson Decays*, *JHEP* **12** (2014) 131, [arXiv:1408.4097].

[21] T. Hurth, F. Mahmoudi, and S. Neshatpour, *Global fits to $b \to s\ell\ell$ data and signs for lepton non-universality*, *JHEP* **12** (2014) 053, [arXiv:1410.4545].

[22] D. M. Straub, *Anatomy of flavour-changing Z couplings in models with partial compositeness*, *JHEP* **08** (2013) 108, [arXiv:1302.4651].

[23] W. Altmannshofer and D. M. Straub, *New physics in $B \to K^* \mu\mu$?*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C73** (2013) 2646, [arXiv:1308.1501].

[24] C. Niehoff, P. Stangl, and D. M. Straub, *Direct and indirect signals of natural composite Higgs models*, arXiv:1508.00569.

[25] W. Altmannshofer, A. J. Buras, D. M. Straub, and M. Wick, *New strategies for New Physics search in $B \to K^* \nu\bar{\nu}$, $B \to K\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $B \to X_s \nu\bar{\nu}$ decays*, *JHEP* **04** (2009) 022, [arXiv:0902.0160].

[26] S. Descotes-Genon, J. Matias, and J. Virto, *Understanding the $B \to K^* \mu^+\mu^-$ Anomaly*, *Phys. Rev.* **D88** (2013) 074002, [arXiv:1307.5683].

[27] R. Gauld, F. Goertz, and U. Haisch, *On minimal Z' explanations of the $B \to K^* \mu^+\mu^-$ anomaly*, *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014) 015005, [arXiv:1308.1959].

[28] A. J. Buras and J. Girrbach, *Left-handed Z' and Z FCNC quark couplings facing new $b \to s\mu^+\mu^-$ data*, *JHEP* **12** (2013) 009, [arXiv:1309.2466].

[29] C. Grojean, O. Matsedonskyi, and G. Panico, *Light top partners and precision physics*, *JHEP* **10** (2013) 160, [arXiv:1306.4655].

[30] K. Agashe, R. Contino, L. Da Rold, and A. Pomarol, *A Custodial symmetry for $Zb\bar{b}$*, *Phys. Lett.* **B641** (2006) 62–66, [hep-ph/0605341].

[31] K. Agashe, *Relaxing Constraints from Lepton Flavor Violation in 5D Flavorful Theories*, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 115020, [arXiv:0902.2400].

[32] J. D. Wells and Z. Zhang, *Precision Electroweak Analysis after the Higgs Boson Discovery*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014), no. 3 033006, [arXiv:1406.6070].

[33] **SLD Electroweak Group, DELPHI, ALEPH, SLD, SLD Heavy Flavour Group, OPAL, LEP Electroweak Working Group, L3** Collaboration, S. Schael et al., *Precision electroweak measurements on the Z resonance*, *Phys. Rept.* **427** (2006) 257–454, [hep-ex/0509008].